

The Royal Society of Biology

## Research Communication Newsletter

*A collection of online information and opinion pieces.*

October 2019

### Spotlight on open access debate

[Discover how European funders are approaching Open policy and practices in new report](#)

[Open Access Week 2019 – What are we talking about and where are we going?](#)

[Publication of Universities UK Open Access Monograph report](#)

[COAR and cOAlition S Supporting Repositories to Adhere to Plan S](#)

[Aligning Science Against Parkinson’s \(ASAP\) Joins cOAlition S](#)

[Roadblocks to Better Open Access Models](#)

[Open access to teaching material – how far have we come?](#)

[New journal to publish ‘negative’ results and reproducibility disputes](#)

Open access journal will publish results from studies sometimes dismissed as ‘failures’ as well as replication attempts

[ScienceOpen partners with university presses from Vilnius and Liverpool to support university publishing](#)

[BMJ launches new open access journal on complex healthcare interventions](#)

BMJ Surgery Interventions & Health Technologies joins portfolio of more than 70 specialist journals

[The Future of OA: A large-scale analysis projecting Open Access publication and readership](#)

[North vs South – Are open access models in conflict?](#)

[Joint position on open scholarship and 'transformative renewals' supporting open access released by the Biochemical Society and Portland Press](#)

[The British Academy publishes new report on open access and book chapters](#)

## Publication policy and debate

[REF should accommodate more diverse outputs, says study](#)

Report for Research England warns assessment may be constraining academics' publishing options

[Why Scholarly Societies Are Vitally Important to the Academic Ecosystem](#)

[A journal club to fix science](#)

[The hidden costs of publication metrics: Can the pressure to publish crowd out our intrinsic motivations to produce good science?](#)

[In bid to boost transparency, bioRxiv begins posting peer reviews next to preprints](#)

[Most European campuses 'use journal impact factor to judge staff'](#)

Preliminary results of EUA survey suggest three-quarters of responding institutions draw on much-criticised metric

[Citation diversity tied to medical research success](#)

US NIH finding raises hopes of focused funding, but concerns of bandwagon outlook

[How an AI trained to read scientific papers could predict future discoveries](#)

[EMBO and ASAPbio to launch a pre-journal portable review platform](#)

A multi-publisher partnership aims to streamline scientific publishing by producing refereed preprints

[The publishing costs at EMBO](#)

## International publishing

[So What's the DEAL?: An Interview with Springer Nature's Dagmar Laging](#)

[Ethiopia adopts a national open access policy](#)

## Publication ethics

[Fighting Citation Pollution — The Challenge of Detecting Fraudulent Journals in Works Cited](#)

[Repairing an Institutional Reputation Tarnished by Fraudulent Publishing](#)

[Highlight negative results to improve science](#)

[Two-thirds of researchers report 'pressure to cite' in \*Nature\* poll](#)

[What's in a name? How false author affiliations are damaging academic research](#)

[Click here to unsubscribe from this publication](#)

**Or, manage your subscription to this and to other RSB publications by logging into [mySociety](#) and choosing 'My Subscriptions' under 'Me and the RSB'.**

**Royal Society of Biology**

Science Policy Team

1 Naoroji Street

London

WC1X 0GB

[policy@rsb.org.uk](mailto:policy@rsb.org.uk)

Please note that any views or opinions presented in this email are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of the Royal Society of Biology.

---

© Royal Society of Biology 2019. Registered Charity Number: 277981  
1 Naoroji Street, London, WC1X 0GB